Case 3:17-cv-00123-MMD-VPC Document 4 Filed 10/24/17 Page 1 FILED ENTERED COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD Michael A. Swinton 1 PO Box 20183 2 Sun Valley, NV 89433-0183 3 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA Email: mswinton1988@gmail.com 4 MEPUTY BY: 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 MICHAEL A. SWINTON, 9 3:17-cv-00123 Plaintiff, 10 Vs. 11 CIVIL COMPLAINT 12 GOODWILL INDUSTRIES INC., et el. 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 17 Jurisdiction 18 19 The United States District court for the district of Nevada 20 invokes jurisdiction pursuant to claims brought under 42 U.S.C. 21 § 1981. 22 23 **Parties** 24 This complaint alleges that the civil rights of Plaintiff, 25 Michael A. Swinton who presently resides at PO Box 20183, Sun 26 Valley, NV 89433, were violated by Goodwill industries Inc. 27 against the Plaintiff at 2424 Oddie blvd, Reno, NV 89512. 28

Goodwill Industries Inc. is a non-profit organization doing business in a district where the events occurred.

4 5

Statement of facts

This civil complaint arises from the many injustices that were endured by the plaintiff during his employment at Goodwill. Defendants illegally participated in unlawful discrimination and retaliation against the plaintiff in violation of plaintiffs civil rights under Title VII's civil rights act of 1964, and the Americans with disability act of 1990. Plaintiff is suing the defendants for illegally participating in unlawful discrimination in violation of the plaintiffs' civil rights under Title VII law based on race, retaliation and disability.

Plaintiff was hired by goodwill on June 1, 2014 as a clerk processer. After approximately 5 months on the job, plaintiff routinely began getting called into the office. Once there, he would be questioned about what he was doing. Management often asked if he was carrying any goodwill items on him. He would then be asked to empty his pockets. On one occasion, the plaintiff was found with rubber bands in his pockets and management accused him of stealing them. Plaintiff explained that he kept rubber bands in his pockets as a convenience when he was in the warehouse bundling goods.

On another occasion plaintiff was called back to the office and questioned by management about an electronic wire he was seen carrying around in the warehouse. Management accused

plaintiff of trying to steal the item. Plaintiff explained that it was a device he carried on him when he was testing electronic items and appliances to make sure they were in working condition before the item could be sold. Plaintiff explained that he was not trying to "steal" the device as it was his. Goodwill asked him to label it with his name on it. They stated that it looked like a goodwill item but clearly saw that it was his. Plaintiff observed again that other similarly situated workers were never treated in this manner or questioned about items they were using on the job.

plaintiff was again pulled aside while working in the warehouse and asked if the phone and headset he was carrying around was his, strongly suggesting he stole these item, after they inspected it, they informed him to put them in his locker stating they were not allowed on the floor. Although he abided by the rule, he noticed other workers never had to keep their cell phones or earphones in their lockers. Again he was given a different set of rules to follow as it was assumed amongst management that any item the plaintiff was carrying needed to be inspected because he may be trying to steal.

During a routine locker inspection for all of employees, plaintiff was once again accused of stealing rubber bands that management found in his backpack along with goggles and gloves. Plaintiff stated that he kept them in his locker when he was not using them as he had been told to do. Plaintiff explained again that he kept these items either in his pocket or in his locker when not in use like everyone else did. Management said "don't

bring your backpack to work anymore because you will continue to be accused of stealing." During this inspection, plaintiff noticed that other workers had backpacks in their lockers that were not inspected, and that they did not get questioned about having the very similar working attire in their lockers such as goggles and gloves.

Plaintiff felt he had the right to bring his backpack to work like everyone else. Shortly after the locker inspection incident, Plaintiff was stopped at the door when he was entering the building for the day and told they were doing inspections before employees could take their personal items to their lockers. When he asked why, He was told that "someone was stealing in the warehouse." Plaintiff noticed that he was pulled aside longer and searched much more extensively that others. Plaintiff also noticed that while others had stopped being checked after a few day of inspections, he continued to be checked for approximately one more week without reason.

An important note here is that after the daily backpack checks, management became aware of what the plaintiff carried with him such as a tablet and cell phones along with other electronics that he owned. Plaintiff was hoping that management would stop interrogating him after the intensive inspections of his backpack before entering the building.

Bathroom breaks were also interrupted. On at least 3 separate occasions, management knocked on the door shouting to the plaintiff to go to the office when he was done using the facilities. These inquisitions were often to ask what the

plaintiff was doing or why he was taking so long in the bathroom. There were many other times when management would pound on the bathroom door even though the plaintiff was on break and shout "your needed in the warehouse when you are done."

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5

1

2

3

4

A manager once came into the bathroom while plaintiff was splashing water on his face and asked him to report to the office. Once there, plaintiff was told that it had been reported that he was "stinky". Plaintiff was then asked if he was cleaning up in the bathroom or was homeless. Plaintiff explained that ventilation was poor in the warehouse which caused him to sweat but that he was not homeless. Plaintiff often became nauseated in the warehouse due to the lack of proper ventilation. Plaintiff was unaware of his right to complain to management about the conditions in the warehouse or that it was a possible OSHA violation. He instead tried to cool off in the bathroom when he could. On yet 2 other occasions The same manager walked in on the plaintiff to inform the plaintiff that he wanted to double check some work they had him doing outside. This manager was again not using the facilities and specifically came into the bathroom to interrupt the plaintiff each time.

2324

25

26

27

28

On at least 2 separate occasions the plaintiff was called back in the office by management and threatened that he would need to perform faster or they would cut back his hours. They had the plaintiff doing other tasks that were not "essential job duties" he had hired out for although the plaintiff did them.

Management eventually followed through with this threat reducing

his hours from 42 to approximately 30 hours per pay period. Some pay periods were even reduced further than this. Note, during this same time management also began altering plaintiffs schedule without notice causing him to either miss work or show up when he was not scheduled. This caused added grief as the plaintiff had to call the human resource office on a daily basis to see if he was still on the schedule for that day before showing up since he was taking the bus to work.

On July 23rd, 2015, Goodwill management accused plaintiff of stealing "goodwill property" and confiscated his personal items from his backpack. Plaintiff was taken back to a room and interrogated by 3 goodwill managers. He was required to recite his name and date of birth. Management emptied all items from his backpack onto the floor looking for items with goodwill stickers on items. Management then threw some of his items and began cussing at him demanding he admit to stealing or he would be suspended for lying. Management then began intimidated plaintiff with statements such as "we know your lying," "tell us the truth" and "we have cameras everywhere." Plaintiff denied these accusations informing management that the items in his backpack were his. Plaintiff asked management to turn on one of the phones to show his personal information. Management refused stating that the phones would not turn on.

After managements' failed attempts to try and get a false statement out of the plaintiff, they offered him an ultimatum to either quit or be suspended for lying. Plaintiff began crying and stated that he didn't want to work here anymore. Management

then gave him a paper to sign. Plaintiff asked for his items back and management refused stating they were Goodwill items. Plaintiff then stated he was going to call the police and he left.

Plaintiff contacted the police after leaving the building and also made a call to a coworker to help identify his personal belongings. When the police arrived they mentioned to the plaintiff that after they received his call, they received a call from Goodwill stating a disturbance in the parking lot. After they acknowledged that there was no disturbance in the lot and that plaintiff was not yelling as management reported, they asked the plaintiff details of what happened. Plaintiff informed the police goodwill management searched his backpack and illegally confiscated all his personal property, stating that it was "goodwill property" accusing him of theft, then verbally suspended him stating that he was lying to them. Plaintiff further informed police that management refused to turn his phones on to prove they were his. He informed the police that management had been abusive to him on several occasions falsely accusing him of theft, but this time they took all his property.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Police went in to talk to management and after assessing the situation, they requested that the plaintiffs' items be returned. Management was uncooperative with the police stating "the items in Mr. Swinton's backpack were Goodwill items and we were just taking these items back", then stating "Mr. Swinton will need to return with receipts proving the items are his." Police argued this to be contradictory, and later stated to the

plaintiff that something was not adding up with goodwill management. Police advised him to return with any proof of ownership and follow up with them if necessary. Plaintiffs coworker showed up later that day to help identify his items, however, management refused them both stating that management had gone home for the day. An initial incident report was taken by the police.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

On July 24th, 2015, plaintiff returned with a neighbor presenting proof of ownership including phone bills statements. He was given back some of his item he had receipts for but was refused his phones and other electronic devices. For the phones that were taken, management stated that the "phone bills are not receipts" even though the IMEI numbers matched both the phone, the box, and the provided billing statements under Mr. Swinton's name. Plaintiff then stated he would be contacting legal authorities again and that he would see them in court for their illegal actions against him. Goodwill management was angry that he called the police on them the day before and stated "why do you have to do all this to us," and then issued the plaintiff a banning letter stating he was officially banned from the The plaintiff was not threatening or violent and premises. there was no security involved. Goodwill illegally retaliated against the plaintiff with a banning letter for threatening to contacting the authorities again, violating plaintiffs' protected rights.

27

28

On Aug 16th, 2015, plaintiff filed an incident report against management on petit larceny charges for illegally

confiscating plaintiff's property and improperly dismissing him on false accusations of theft.

On Aug 25th, 2015, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the EEOC based on race, disability and retaliation and received a notice of suit rights letter on Dec 23, 2016. The EEOC charge is included with this complaint charge 846-2015-36470.

Supporting facts: Goodwill states in a response letter to the EEOC that the referenced banning letter was not attached to the EEOC charges. This is untrue as it was included with the intake papers in a 10-page fax sent to the EEOC on Aug 25th, 2015.

Plaintiff has included dated materials showing the intake papers were faxed to the EEOC by fedex included the banning letter.

Supporting facts: Goodwill states in a response letter to the EEOC that the incident report from the Reno Police department was not included with the charge notice. This is untrue as it was mailed back with the EEOC charge form plaintiff signed and dated on Sept, 19, 2015. EEOC returned a stamped copy of the Charge Notice Showing received by EEOC Sept 28, 2015, however, EEOC did not return a stamped copy of the incident report showing it had been received.

Plaintiff has included this incident report from the Reno police department as an exhibit.

Count 1

The following rights have been violated: Discrimination, harassment and false accusations of theft

Defendants unlawfully discriminated, harassed and accused the plaintiff on false allegations of theft.

Managements finger pointing and faulty interviewing techniques were baseless as there were no grounds of misconduct on the plaintiffs' behalf. The items found in the plaintiffs' locker or in his pockets were confirmed as working attire such as rubber bands, goggles and testing equipment. These were items that were used by the plaintiff on a regular basis and stored in his locker while not in use. Other similarly situated workers carried these items around with them or kept in their lockers as well without repercussion as plaintiff always witnessed. Managements over investigation of the plaintiff became unreasonable, creating a hostile work environment for him.

Managements abusive and malicious act of pulling the plaintiff aside during various interrogations was illegal done and clearly presented a situation where the rules for the plaintiff were different from that of similarly situated coworkers. Other coworkers were never pulled aside or questioned about items they carried around with them or in their pockets.

Managements suggestive questioning such as "why were you in the bathroom so long," or "do you have any goodwill items on

you" was using an extreme interrogation approach and was inherently destructive. The over investigation of the plaintiff became unreasonable, causing damage to plaintiffs' reputation and demeanor.

These proximal series of events lead to management illegals confiscation of plaintiffs' personal property. It was done maliciously, illegally and with intent to harm the plaintiff. One of the plaintiff cell phones that was confiscated by management is currently being illegally used. The IMEI number reflects this and it was confirmed by the phone company. Plaintiff is looking into it. The incident report reflects that it was part of the property that the plaintiff never got back from goodwill management.

The EEOC notice of charge letter dated Oct 1, 2015 sent Goodwill scrambling for a response back in their attempt to try and find any evidence against the plaintiff, which they could never do to begin with, and this is what they offered to the EEOC in their defense;

During one of his last days on the job, a video was taken showing the plaintiff carrying around a white pair of headphones. (which were his by the way). Management stated that he was "staging" them as he moved around working. Unfortunately, this was a learned behavior that was installed into the plaintiff as a result of being victimized by goodwill management so much so, that he was afraid to put personal property in his pocket. Even though the white headsets were his, (that went with the white IPhone management took from him). he knew at

this point that he was being watched, and that even reaching in his pockets may cause him to be interrogated. He instead kept his headphones beside him trying to appease management as a way to let them know he was not stealing them or putting anything into his pockets. This was not staging, it was a result of being abused by management for months.

Goodwill continues to discredit the plaintiff here without probable cause in an attempt to protect their illegal actions against him.

Goodwill's gross misconduct of the plaintiff was done so with malicious intent and in a vindictive manner where they did not have probable cause to allege that the plaintiff did such an act as steal property. ON the contrary, they stole from him as shown in the incident report.

Additionally, the plaintiff has suffered great mental distress as a result of the false actions and continues to have a hard time with his daily activities. He has had to have mentoring again to help him with work and daily duties. He has been badly damaged. Goodwill should be ashamed of their misconduct of this continued victimization in order to protect bad management at all costs including the plaintiffs' mental health.

Defendants willfully, maliciously and relentlessly discriminated, harassed, and retaliated against the plaintiff in an attempt to try and force him into a false allegation of

theft. Plaintiff civil rights were violated and he is entitled to compensatory damages including relief under Title VII civil rights act of 1964 and the Americans with disability act of 1990. The plaintiff is entitled to relief under this claim.

Count 2

The following rights have been violated: Disability Discrimination

Defendants unlawfully discriminated against the plaintiff by threatening to reduce his hours due to a learning disability. On at least 2 separate occasions the plaintiff was called back in the office by management and threatened that he would need to perform faster or they would cut back his hours. Management followed through with this threat approximately 3 months before the plaintiff was dismissed, reducing his hours from 42 to approximately 30 hours per pay period. Some pay periods were even reduced further than this

During this time, management also altered plaintiffs schedule without notice causing him to either miss work or show up when he was not scheduled. this caused added grief as the plaintiff had to call the office on a regular basis to see if he was still on the schedule for the day.

The plaintiff was able to perform his duties that he hired out on as a "qualified individual" who was able to complete the tasks regarding the "essential functions" of the job without

accommodation. ADA defines "essential functions" as the fundamental job duties of the employment position the individual with the disability holds. However, this does not include "marginal duties" which are tasks that are not "essential" duties of the job and might include "other duties" as assigned.

Management had been assigning the plaintiff with "other duties" or "Marginal duties" and because of this, the plaintiff was not performing as quickly as he was with his essential duties. Management then twice threatened to reduce the plaintiff hours and followed through with threat without taking into consideration the plaintiff was assigned to "other duties" that were not essential job functions under his job title therefore violating plaintiffs' rights under Title 1 of the ADA.

Under ADA law, Employers may not deny you a job or compensations thereof, including reducing your hours as long as you are qualified simply because you cannot do marginal job functions if the inability to perform is because of your disability.

Defendants willingly and intentionally reduced plaintiffs' hours because he suffers from a learning disability violating the Civil Rights Act and Americans with Disabilities act of 1990. The plaintiff entitled to relief under this claim.

Count 3

The following civil rights have been violated:

Discrimination, harassment and retaliation by improper dismissal

Goodwill management falsely accused plaintiff of theft and then confiscated his personal belongings from his back pack claiming it was "goodwill property." Management deliberately staged this event in order to dismiss the plaintiff.

The petit larceny charges against the defendants confirms their improper motive behind the initial allegations against the plaintiff. The incident report is proof that management acted deliberately with intent to harm the plaintiff under false allegations of theft including their attempt to terminate him.

Management was hoping to get a false admission of guilt out of the plaintiff by abusively interrogating him for a long period of time. Management, however, was not expecting the plaintiff to call the police after this incident as they were unprepared to defend their actions appropriately.

Management knowingly made false statement to the police such as "the items in Mr. Swinton's backpack were goodwill items" and "we were just taking these items back." including "he will need to provide receipts showing the items are his." Police argued this to be contradictory. Management then became more uncooperative and would not turn the phones on stating the battery was dead even though the chargers were with the phones and tablet. This was another indication that management was not being honest to the police.

When the district management became aware of this, they quickly sent a letter out to the plaintiff to "memorialize" his dismissal as if it was justification as of what happened to him, when in fact they had banned him from the premises for getting the police involved. the plaintiff didn't want to quit but wanted to transfer away from the abusive behavior.

Management had brought the plaintiff to tears after over an hour of interrogations and finally forced him into saying "I don't want to work at this goodwill location anymore." They then quickly shoved a voluntary dismissal paper at him making him sign and date it stating he was resigning due to relocation.

Defendants deliberately and willfully, maliciously and relentlessly discriminated, harassed, and illegally retaliated against the plaintiff in an attempt to try and force him into a false allegation of theft. Plaintiff civil rights were violated and he is entitled to compensatory damages including relief under Title VII civil rights act of 1964 and the Americans with disability act of 1990. The plaintiff is entitled to relief under this claim.

Count 4

The following rights have been violated:

Retaliation, illegal banning from Goodwill property

Plaintiff was illegally banned from the premises when he returned with a neighbor the following day to retrieve his property. The majority of his items were returned, however, management argued, phone bills as not being receipts and refused to return them.

Plaintiff responded by stating that he would be seeking legal authorities against the defendants and would see them in court. Management then became upset with the plaintiff and presented him with a banning letter. He was banned from all Goodwill industries of the Sacramento Valley and Northern Nevada areas dated July 24,2015.

This action taken against the plaintiff was illegal.

Plaintiff was not disorderly, nor did he require a security escort as he posed no threat to management or its help.

Defendants are now claiming the referenced banning letter was not attached to the EEOC charge notice and they have stated they were unable to locate the banning letter.

Defendants deliberately, acted with malice against the plaintiff banning him from the premises as a retaliatory act violating his protected right. Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, "it shall be an unlawful practice for an employer to discriminate against any of his employees because he has opposed any practice. The plaintiff is entitled to relief under this claim.

Previous lawsuits and Administrative Relief

The plaintiff in this action has never filed an action in state or federal court involving the same or similar facts.

Demand for Judgment for Relief

The pleader has collectively suffered an extended period of mental injuries; with respect to future employment; plaintiff has been harmed with respect to confidence in corporate and/or authoritative declarations of integrity that have been found to be corporate implementations of defense to protect violations of law by the management. To undermine EEOC laws and encourage continued abuse of the system in ways that favor corporate amongst insiders.

Plaintiffs demand for relief considers that the problems have NOT been addressed with sufficient penalties to discourage such malicious activities that abuse a worker in ways that effect their income and livelihood in order to support bloated corporate salaries. Plaintiffs demand for relief does not include the obvious problem that corporate entities are used to routinely breaking laws with impunity and reward those who do so on behalf of stockholders and themselves although plaintiff demands relief for this as well.

The dismay of knowing that a non-profit organization such as Goodwill Industries Inc., requires and implements honesty, truthfulness, not stealing, and not injuring, yet operates with

deception that is inconsistent with that. Their actual implementation of corporate optimizes economic benefits for themselves, siphoning economic benefits from its stockholders and disadvantaged employees in order to suffice the fringe benefits of management.

The relief plaintiff seeks is not only for himself, but for others who have been harmed in the manner. Goodwill industries takes gross advantage of its non-profit organizations charitable status by engaging in unfair employment practices.

Lost wages

\$ 0,005,000.00

Emotional and psychological damage to plaintiff

\$1,000,000.00

Punitive damages

\$1,000,000.00

Plaintiff understands that ta false statement or answer to any question in this complaint will subject plaintiff to penalties of perjury. PLAINTIFF DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. SEE 28 \$1746 and 18 U.S.C. \$ 1621

DATED THIS 23ND day of February 2017

MICHAEL A. SWINTON PRO SE PLANTIFF

RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT SUMMARY INCIDENT REPORT



REPORT NUMBER: 150100640

INC	IDENT	INFO	RMAT	ION														
r	DENT CO	r	NCIDEN Potit Lan	–			INITIAL	X DATE	/TIME S			Γ'''-	TIME EI 2016 02				E REPO 02:21 J	
	ORT FILE			TRACKING	NUMBER	1	OCATIO	N OF OC			-				PPROVE	BY:		
				T18006917			1424 Odd	No Bouley	rerd. Re	no. MV					61 00/L ug	ne Joh	neon	
roc	ATION T	YPE		THEFT	TYPE			HOD OF E			D OF	EXIT	PT O	ENTR	Y PT OF	EXIT	ENTRY	LOC
	il Store			From E	Juliding		1]				1		Į.		1	
				, , , , , ,					 									
PE	RSON L	ITEL	IGS															
_	TYPE	LAST	VAME		FIRST NA	ME	MIDDL	E NAME	DOB		RAC	Ē		SEX	DRIVER L	IC NO		LIC ST
	MC	Swinte			Micheel		1		***				_	•	***			**
•	33N		ETHN	CITY	RESIDEN	ll .	EYE C	OLOR	HAR	COLO	₹	AGE	HEIG	HT	WEIGHT	CELL	PHONE	
•					Ì		1						1					
'	EMAIL				RESIDENCE ADDRESS				но				HOM	HOME PHONE				
	mewinte	m1988	O gmell.	.com		•••												
	EMPLOY	ÆR N	WE	٠		BUSIN	USINESS ADDRESS WORK PHONE											
	İ					•••												
PR	OPERT	Y LIS	TINGS				_									-		
	INVL	litte	EM						QU/	VIITV	BR/	ND		_	MOD	EL		
	STN Microllaneous				10								1					
	SERIAL	NO							COLOR		MKT VALU		E DMG VALUE		Æ			
1	Ì						İ			1		\$ 300.00		00	\$			
}	DESCRI	PTION								+					-			
L	Mocella	neoue	electro	nice, includi	ing corde/	Phones	<u> </u>											

NARRATIVE

Michael Swinton

July 23rd, 2015

Accusation of theft - During my lunch hour I was called back to the office and interrogated by two managers who accused me of stealing in a manner similar to a police interrogation. I was pressed by one manager who stated "you know you are stealing, admit it". I vehemently denied these false accusations as they were untrue. After sometime, I began to cry as they continued to tell me I would be suspended. They then took me to my locker and searched my backpack finding only my personal property including my cell phones, chargers and other personal property which they illegally confiscated. They then started throwing some of my property and began cussing at me telling me I would be suspended or that I could quit if I did not admit that I was stealing. I became very distraught and stated that I didn't want to work at this location anymore requesting that my transfer to go through that I had put in for earlier this year. I was coerced into signing a paper that I was not given a copy of but was told I had been suspended.

I later returned with a coworker trying to get my possessions back but was again denied as I was told I would need to produce receipts showing the phones were mine. During this visit, I called the Reno police department informing them that my managers at Goodwill, illegally searched my locker and confiscated personal property

Report Created On 08/25/2015 09:54 AM

Page 1 of 2

POLICE INCIDENT REPURT EXHIBIT

Case 3:17-cv-00123-MMD-VPC Document 4 Filed 10/24/17 Page 21 of 33

REPORT NUMBER: 150106649

they would not return. When the police arrived, management admitted to the Reno police officers that they had my possessions and would not return my property in particular, my cell phones stating that I would need a receipt. The officers agreed that management was being "difficult" but advised me to either find a a phone bill or other means of proof that the phones were in my name. Management stated they were unable to charge the phones to see the name on it.

July 24th, 2015

I returned with a neighbor who helped me produce printed receipts showing the phones were in fact mine. At this time they released some of my property but still have my two cell phones along with other property which they will not return. I then asked for a copy of the paper I had signed showing I had been suspended. The paper they produced was in fact not showing that I had been suspended but rather that I was voluntarily resigning my position with the checkbox that I was relocating. After I was given this paper, I informed them that I wanted all my possession back before I left. They again denied me this. I then informed them that I would be seeking a lawyer if my possessions were not given to me. At that point, they produced a letter of notification that I was banned from the Goodwill premises including the Sacramento Valley and Northern Nevada Inc. locations. See attached letter.

I had earlier put in a request for a transfer since I was being harassed by management with incidents such as routinely getting called into the office and having my pockets searched, interrupting my breaks including interrupting my bathroom breaks by knocking on the door shouting to me that I needed to go to the office after I get out of the bathroom. These meetings were often to search my pockets by management. They often called me into the office over the PA system. At one point management accused me of stealing rubber bands that they found in my pockets which were used on the job for bundling goods for packaging. I explained that I kept them in my pocket just like everyone else did for easy access while working. I was also falsely accused of having working gloves in my pockets and safety goggles which were all part of goodwill working attire and employees often carried them around in their pockets for ease of use, yet I was singled out for doing the same thing.

Page 2 of 2

POICE FACIPEAT REPORT

ISMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

: 08/25/2015 11:38 : FEDEX : 1754 : 7753542573 : U63314F4J711765

DATE, TIME FAX NO. /NAME DURATION

 $m{\Theta}$ 2016 FedEz. All rights reserved. Products, corvices and hours very by location. 615.0PED462

08/25 11:34 14155223415 00:03:41 ÖK STANDARD ECM



Fax Cover Sheet

7 9 0 3 6 3 0 0 7 1 1 1 1 1 Fex - Local Send fedex.com 1800.GoFetEx 1800.483.3339	7 0 3 6 3 0 0 7 1 4 1 2 Fax - Domestic Send	7 9 0 3 6 3 0 0 7 2 0 3 Fax - International Send
Comments INTAKE QUE MICHAEL	LETTIONUDINE FR. Anthony Swinton	<u>an</u>
Telephone <u>1800-669-409</u> Fex <u>1-415-522-34</u>	15 Telephone 17	15-379-2572
Company	Company	CHAEL SWIMSON
To:	From:	
Date 8 25 3015	Number of pag	es 10 (including cover page)

EEOC INTAKE EXHIBIT

3:17-cv-00128-MIND-V/PC-POOLINGMINI CHRUNCTUNITY COMMISSION INTAKE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please immediately complete this entire form and return it to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). REMEMBER, a charge of employment discrimination must be filed within the time limits imposed by law, within 180 days or in some places within 300 days of the alleged discrimination. When we receive this form, we will review it to determine EEOC coverage. Answer all questions completely, and attach additional pages if meeded to complete your responses. If you do not know the answer to a question, answer by stating "not known." If a question is not applicable, write "N/A." (PLEASE PRINT)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· (
1. Personal Information			
Last Name: Swinton	First Name: M	ICHNEL	M. MATHANY
Last Name: <u>Swinton</u> Street or Mailing Address: <u>2795</u> City: <i>RENO</i>	E 4th STREET	Ant or Unit	MI. 777
			G_{α}
Phone Numbers: Home: (1715) 3	19-2572 Wor	k: ()	•
CCII. (11)	Email Address: _ <i>[77]_5</i>	WINTON 1988A CA	eau Com
Date of Birth: 12/14/1988	Sex: W Male Fema	le Do You Have a Di	sahility? 17/Yes 17 No.
riease answer each of the next three q	p uestions. i. Are you Hispa	unic or Latino? 🔲 Yes 🐹 N	io
ii. What is your Race? Please choose	all that apply. American I	ndian or Alaskan Native	Asian 🖂 White
	Black or African Americ	an Native Hawaiian or O	ther Pacific Islander
iii. What is your National Origin (count	ry of origin or ancestry)?	1.5.A.	der raciie isianici
Please Provide The Name Of A Person	We Can Contact If We Ar	Unable To Reach Von	
Name:		Relationshin:	
Address:	City:	State: 7:	- Code
Home Phone: ()	Other Phone: ()	p Code:
2. I believe that I was discriminated a Employer Union Employ Organization Contact Information (If twork from home, check here and promotively, attach additional sheets. Organization Name: 6000 Will	ment Agency Other (Pl the organization is an employe vide the address of the office	ease Specify)	
Address: 2424 Addie blue	Company	la Chestar	
City: RENO S	State:NV Zin: 89517	Phone 775 3cm	12000
Type of Business: NON-Profit	Job Location if different from	Ora Address:	6777
Human Resources Director or Owner Nar	ne: //n/n/n-ho -	Olg. Address:	
Number of Employees in the Organizati	on at All Locations: Disease	Thork (A One	
~	2 101 - 200 □ 201 - 50		
3. Your Employment Data (Complete as			
Date Hired: 6/1/2014) Are you a federal employe C/K PROSESSONE	e? 🗆 Yes 🗎 No
Pay Rate When Hired: 2.2.			
Job Title at Time of Alleged Discrimination	Last or Current 1	ay kate:	2/22/22/2
Name and Title of Immediate Supervisor:			123/2015
If Job Applicant, Date You Applied for Jo	0	Applied For	or carrow)

LEXHIBIT

-Case 3:17-cv-00123-MMD-VPC--Document-4- Filed-10/24/17 Page 24 of 33

POR EXAMPLE , if you feel that you were treated worse than someone else because of race, you should check the box Race. If you feel you were treated worse for several reasons, such as your sex, religion and national origin, you should that apply. If you complained about discrimination, participated in someone else's complaint, or filed a confidence of discrimination, and a negative action was threatened or taken, you should check the box next to Retaliation.
Race Sex Sex Disability National Origin Religion Retaliation Pregnancy Color (typically difference in skin shade within the same race) Genetic Information; circle which type(s) of genetic information is invious invious information in the same race) information is invious information in invious information in the same race) information is invious information in the same race) information in the same race) information in the same race) information in the same race information in the same race
If you checked color, religion or national origin, please specify: If you checked genetic information, how did the employer obtain the genetic information?
Other reason (basis) for discrimination (Explain):
5. What happened to you that you believe was discriminatory? Include the date(s) of harm, the action(s), and the mand title(s) of the person(s) who you believe discriminated against you. Please attach additional pages if needed. (Example: 10/02/06 - Discharged by Mr. John Soto, Production Supervisor)
(Example: 10/02/06 - Discharged by Mr. John Soto, Production Supervisor) A. Date: 7/23/2015 Action: NCCUSDITION Of theft. MANAGEMENT FOISIER DOCUSED ME
OVING GOODWILL PEADERTY IN MY BOKDOCK-they WITHING CONFIGNORED ALL OF MY belo
Name and Title of Person(s) Responsible: 3 MONAGERS (DON KNOW NONES) IN MY Backpack
B. Date: 1/24/2015 Action: Bonned From Goodwill- (See ATTECMENT) I WAS ILLEGA
Barned from goodwill atter I returned to collect my personal Belonging - I ha
Name and Title of Person(s) Responsible with the police + they police of the Return with pro
6. Why do you believe these actions were discriminatory? Please attach additional pages if needed. 1 Dio Afren 1 Bonned I WAS TREATED IN A DISCRIMINATORY MANCY When management illegally
personul property from me "Assuming" I Stoke these ITEMS - a
7. What reason(s) were given to you for the acts you consider discriminatory? By whom? His or Her Job Title?
I WAS TOID BY MONDGEMENT HAT "Honey Knew I was Lying" and H
"I WEED to tell the truth" as they were penalizing me on assumpt
8. Describe who was in the same or similar situation as you and how they were treated. For example, who else ap for the same job you did, who else had the same attendance record, or who else had the same performance? Provrace, sex, age, national origin, religion, or disability of these individuals, if known, and if it relates to your claim discrimination. For example, if your complaint alleges race discrimination, provide the race of each person; if it sex discrimination, provide the sex of each person; and so on. Use additional sheets if needed.
Of the persons in the same or similar situation as you, who was treated better than you? Full Name Race, Sex, Age, National Origin, Religion or Disability Job Title Description of Treatan
В

EER INDKE

	Out, 17	c. National Origin, l	Religion or Disability Jol	Title	e 25 of 33
A	•			- 1 1110	Description of Treatm
	•				
В.					
D					
Of the persons in the sa Full Name	me or similar Race. Sex. Ao	situation as you, wh	o was treated the same as eligion or Disability Job	you?	
A.		of Ivational Origin, R	engion of Disability Job	Title	Description of Treatme
В.					
»					
Inswer questions 9-12 or	nly if you are	claiming discriminat	ion based on disability.]	I mad ald	40
	-	. Please add addition	mal pages if needed.	a saor, sacio	to question 13. Please
. Please check all that a	pply:	Yes, I have a dis	ability		
		I do not have a d	isability now but I did bow	e one	
		□ No disability but	the organization teres	00 if I	licabled
		•	THE OF PRINCE OF STATE OF THE	wan Tam C	TOT NICT
0. What is the disability	that you beli	In 4h	the organization treats me		
What is the disability revent or limit you from	that you beli doing anythi	eve is the reason for	the adverse action taken	against you	2? Does this disability
_I HAVE DIE	haning n	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking,	against you caring for	2? Does this disability
VORKNA FORT A	PANING DI	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SOBILITY - IV	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking,	against you caring for Me Un	n? Does this disability yourself, working, etc.).
THEN FOIL OWE	DANING DI NOVEH -	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITY - M 4 4 6 1 4 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, considered to the latened to curt,	against you caring for Me Yhou	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). Description they
THEN FOIL OWE	DANING DI NOVEH -	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITY - M 4 4 6 1 4 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, honogement tothe attended to cust my hours to become in the contractions of the contractions o	against you caring for Me In My how Muss FR	pourself, working, etc.). nt I Wasn't La taken, they on 42 hou or po
Working FOST E Then Follows Do you use medication O Yes A No	DANING DIENOUSH - TO HAROUS, medical equi	eve is the reason for ug? (e.g., lifting, sle SOBILITY - NY HEN HOLES HO	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, honogement to the latened to cut, and cut my hose to lessen or eliminate the	against you caring for Me In My how Muss FR	power this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La train, they on 42 hou pu po
WORKING FOST E Then Follows Do you use medication D Yes A No	DANING DIENOUSH - TO HAROUS, medical equi	eve is the reason for ug? (e.g., lifting, sle SOBILITY - NY HEN HOLES HO	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, honogement to the latened to cut, and cut my hose to lessen or eliminate the	against you caring for Me In My how Muss FR	poes this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La treat, they on Yahau pe po
WORKING FOST E Then Follows Do you use medication D Yes A No	DANING DIENOUSH - TO HAROUS, medical equi	eve is the reason for ug? (e.g., lifting, sle SOBILITY - NY HEN HOLES HO	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, honogement to the latened to cut, and cut my hose to lessen or eliminate the	against you caring for Me In My how Muss FR	poes this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La treat, they on Yahau pe po
Then Follows Do you use medication Yes," what medication,	DENING DIENOUGH - TO HAROUS, medical equip	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IV. L. HEN HIS imment or anything element or other assistant	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me Yn My hou Muss Fre e symptom er was	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La tracking. They on Yahau pu po of your disability: po CARRILL GARY LOR
Do you use medication Yes, " what medication, Did you ask your emp	medical equip	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IX LHEN HIEN HIS ipment or anything element or other assistance changes or assistance changes changes or assistance changes or assistance changes or assistance changes or assistance changes or assistance changes changes or assistance changes changes or assistance changes chang	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me In My hou Muss FR e symptom er was	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La track, they on 42 hou pe po of your disability? CARRELL GARY LOR
Do you use medication Yes," what medication, Did you ask your emp Yes," when did you ask?	TOUGH - TO HAROUS, medical equip medical equip	the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IX. LAMEN + HIS ipment or anything element or other assistance thanges or assistance How did you	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me In My hou Muss FR e symptom er was	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La track, they on your disability? CARRELL GARYLOR ability?
Do you use medication Yes, " what medication, Did you ask your emp	TOUGH - TO HAROUS, medical equip medical equip	the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IX. LAMEN + HIS ipment or anything element or other assistance thanges or assistance How did you	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me In My hou Muss FR e symptom er was	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). nt I WASN't La track, they on 42 hou pe po of your disability? CARRELL GARY LOR
Do you use medication. Did you ask your emp Yes K No Yes," what medication, Pes K No Yes," when did you ask? O did you ask? (Provide	medical equipologer for any	the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITY - IV. THEN HIS HIS Imment or anything element or other assistant changes or assistance How did you job title of person)	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me Yh My hou Muss FR e symptom er was of your dis	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). of I Wasn't La taken, they on Yahou perpart of your disability? CARRILL GATY LOR
Do you use medication. Did you ask your emp Yes K No Yes," what medication, Pes K No Yes," when did you ask? O did you ask? (Provide	medical equipologer for any	the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITY - IV. THEN HIS HIS Imment or anything element or other assistant changes or assistance How did you job title of person)	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me Yh My hou Muss FR e symptom er was of your dis	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). of I Wasn't La taken. They on Yahau pu po tol your disability? CARRUL GARY LOR.
Do you use medication Yes No "Yes," what medication, Did you ask your emp Yes," when did you ask? Provide The provide the changes or assistant the changes or assistant to the changes of the changes or assistant to the changes or assistant to the cha	medical equipology full name and	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IN HILL THEN THEN THE ARTHUR THE ARTHU	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me Yh My hou Muss FR e symptom er was of your dis	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). AT I WASA + LA TRANS. + Hey MY YOUR disability? CARRILL GARY LOR.
Do you use medication Yes No "Yes," what medication, Did you ask your emp Yes," when did you ask? Provide The provide the changes or assistant to the changes or assi	medical equipology full name and	eve is the reason for ag? (e.g., lifting, sle SABILITA - IN HILL THEN THEN THE ARTHUR THE ARTHU	the adverse action taken eping, breathing, walking, walki	against you caring for Me Yh My hou Muss FR e symptom er was of your dis	Does this disability yourself, working, etc.). AT I NASA + LA TRANS. He come of your disability? CARRILL GATY LOR.

CECK IMPIKE EXHIBIT

		nal pages if needed to complete y	Filed 10/24/17 Page 26 of 33 representity them below and tell us what response)
Pull Name A.	Job Title	Address & Phone Number	What do you believe this person will tell us?
B			·
			C or another agency? Yes No
16. Have you some	tht help about this		ney, or any other source? Yes No
liscrimination with	or local governme	nt agency enforces laws similar to	ou must do so either within 180 days from the day you about the discrimination if the employer is located in
	ns about EEOC's	notifying the completes with a	would like more information before filing a charge
vish to check Box 1 BOX 1	ns about EEOC's I. If you want to i	notifying the employer, union, or lie a charge, you should check H	would like more information before filing a charge of a would like more information before filing a charge remployment agency about your charge, you may lox 2.
BOX 1 I want I have not filed a ch	ns about EEOC's I. If you want to to to talk to an EEOC arge with the EEOC	motifying the employer, union, or lie a charge, you should check H employee before deciding whether a labo understand that I could have	or the EEOC's laws. If you do not file a charge of a would like more information before filing a charge remployment agency about your charge, you may lox 2. To file a charge. I understand that by checking this box, one my rights if I do not file a charge in time.
BOX 1 I want I have not filed a ch	to talk to an EEOC arge with the EEOC to file a charge of de EEOC must give the charge, include	comployee before deciding whether a large understand that I could be scrimination, and I authorize the El the employer, union, or employment.	would like more information before filing a charge of a would like more information before filing a charge remployment agency about your charge, you may lox 2.
BOX 1 I want I have not filed a ch	to talk to an EEOC arge with the EEOC must give the charge, including on race, color, religions.	motifying the employer, union, or lie a charge, you should check He employee before deciding whether a lie is a last understand that I could he iscrimination, and I authorize the Elithe employer, union, or employment my name. I also understand that gion, sex, national origin, disability,	would like more information before filing a charge of a would like more information before filing a charge remployment agency about your charge, you may lox 2. To file a charge. I understand that by checking this box, one my rights if I do not file a charge in time. BOC to look into the discrimination I described above. That agency that I accuse of discrimination

ie, local and federal agencies as appropriate or necessary to carry out the Commission's functions, or if EEOC becomes aware of a civil or criminal law violation. EEOC may also disclose information to respondents in Rigation, to congressional offices in response to inquiries from parties to the charge, to deciplinary committees investigating complaints against attorneys representing the parties to the charge, or to federal agencies inquiring about hiring or security clearance matters. 5) WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY AND EFFECT ON INDIVIDUAL FOR NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION. Providing this information is voluntary but the failure to do so may hamper the Commission's investigation of a charge. It is not mendatory that this form be used to provide the requested information.

November 2009

EXHIBIT

Additional notes for charges of discrimination

Michael Swinton

July 23rd, 2015 - Accusation of theft

After clocking out for lunch as I was heading to my locker and was approached by 3 members of management who asked me to follow them to the office for some questions. They told me to bring my backpack. Once in the office I was asked to recite my full name and date of birth which I did. They then took my back pack and dumped all my personal belongings onto the floor. They inspected each item looking to see if any of them had goodwill stickers on them. They asked where each item was purchased and if I had any receipts. I stated that I didn't carry receipts for any personal property that I had. They asked why I needed to carry all these cell phones with me. I stated the cell phones were mine and to prove this I asked them to turn the phones on to show my personal information. One phone had a dead battery while the other phones did turn on showing my personal information on it. They still declined to return my phones regardless of this proof. I stated that I had purchased one of the phones at a kiosk at the mall and that other items were either given to me or purchased elsewhere and was personal property that I had owned. In disbelief, they stated, "All this stuff looks like goodwill items" and then proceeded to confiscate everything I had putting all my property into a box including my cell phones and chargers.

Management began interrogating me in a manner similar to a police investigation informing me that they had cameras everywhere and that they saw everything I do all day. One manager was drilling me with statements such as "you need tell the truth about these Items" and "why are you lying to us, you know this is not your stuff" I vehemently denied these false accusations as they were untrue.

Management then began yelling at me and threatened to suspend me unless I told the truth. I explained to management that I was telling the truth. I reminded them that I had already been unfairly suspended on another occasion after a company nurse made a misdiagnoses over the phone allowing me to go back to work after a dresser fell on my foot. Because I went to the emergency room later that day after the pain in my foot became unbearable, I was suspended for getting a second opinion even though I had notified the store manager Dawn that I was going to go to the hospital after my shift ended. Goodwill immediately suspended me without pay after my visit to the hospital and required me to get a urine test before I could return

After about one hour of interrogations, management informed me that I was suspended because they thought I stole this property from goodwill. I informed management that after this interrogation of harassment, I didn't want to work at this location anymore due to the hostile working conditions they created. At that point management shoved a paper at me pressuring me to sign and date it without

After leaving the building, I called the Reno police to report that my property was illegally confiscated by Goodwill management and that I had been accused of stealing these items. I also called a coworker to verify that he recognized that the items were in fact mine. When the police arrived, they stated than they were also responding to another call they received from the goodwill premises stating a disturbance of yelling in the parking lot. After they acknowledged that there was no disturbance in the

Case 3:17-cv-00123-MMD-VPC Document 4 Filed 10/24/17 Page 28 of 33

parking lot and that I was not yelling as reported, they proceeded to ask me details of what happened. I informed them that Goodwill management illegally confiscated my personal belongings insinuating that I stole these items from the premises.

The police officers entered the building to speak with management. Management stated to the police that, "the items in Mr. Swinton's backpack was our stuff and we were just taking it back". Management further informed the police that "Mr. Swinton will need to produce receipts for all of his personal belongings". The police officers stated that this wasn't making sense as management claimed it was goodwill property, yet was requiring me to produce receipts proving the property was mine. The police officers did however advised me to gather whatever information I could showing the items were mine and bring them back today to retrieve my property even though they stated that something wasn't adding up here with the Goodwill managers.

I came back an hour later with a coworker to claim my belongings with Phone bill statements but was turned away from the store claiming the "main manager" was gone for the day and to come back tomorrow to claim the items. My coworker even stated to management that he recognized that the items were in fact mine, however, management ignored him.

July 24th, 2015 - Banned from Goodwill

i returned the next day with a neighbor showing printed phone bill statements showing the phones were mine. They then released some of my personal property including a watch that had been given to me by my case worker but kept other items including tools along with the two phones they refused to return. They stated that my cell phone billing statements showing my name and address were "not actual receipts" and refused to return them to me as well as most of my other personal belongings.

While I was there, I asked for a copy of the paper I had signed on July 23^{rd.} They gave me a copy showing that I had resigned my position due to relocation. Management had pressured me into signing a paper showing I voluntarily quit my job because I declined to agree to be suspended under terms falsely accusing me of theft.

I informed management that I was going to get a lawyer due to the harassment I received from them. At that point, management became angry and one of them stated, "why do you have to do all that " and produced a letter of notification that I was officially banned from the Goodwill premises including the Sacramento Valley and Northern Nevada Inc. locations. See attached letter.

I believe Goodwill management banned me as a retaliatory act because I called the police on them the day they confiscated my personal property, July 23rd. Goodwill management intentionally and illegally retaliated against me because of my protected activity and banned me from the property on July 24th.

August 12th, 2015 - Filed an incident report with police department

I later filed a written police incident report against Goodwill industries located at 2424 oddie blvd. Reno Nevada 89512, Because they still have my property including two cell phones.

EEOC INTAKE EXHIBIT

Prior to the suspension and accusations of theft

I routinely got called into the office by management. My bathroom breaks were often interrupted and on at least 3 separate occasions, management had knocked on the door shouting to me that I needed to go to the office after I got out of the bathroom. These inquisitions were often just to ask what I was doing, or why I was in the bathroom so long. Once, a manager came into the bathroom and gave me instructions to go to the office after I was done using the facilities. Once there, I was informed that it had been reported that I was "stinky". I was then asked if I was cleaning up in the bathroom since he saw me grooming my hair and splashing water on my face. I explained that working conditions in the warehouse caused me to sweat and that there was poor ventilation out there. I did state that I was using the bathroom to freshen up but was not homeless or cleaning up in there.

I was often called over the PA system while on break or lunch to come help others move a heavy items in the warehouse even though I had informed them that I was going to be at break or lunch. Yet when I needed assistance in the warehouse, I often worked alone or had someone trying to help me who was too little to lift properly which was how I ended up hurt on one occasion when a dresser fell on my foot causing me to be suspended without pay.

During a locker search a few months before I was suspended, management accused me of stealing rubber bands that they found in my backpack. They also accused me of stealing goggles and gloves that I used as work attire and often had them in my backpack or pockets during lunch hours and breaks. This was goodwill working attire that all employees carried around with them and kept in their lockers as well, yet I was singled out for having them in my possession even though we were told to keep them on us or in our lockers. I was even pulled aside by a manager named Mary, and told that I shouldn't bring my backpack to work since I would probably keep getting accused of stealing even though other employees brought their backpacks and kept them in their lockers without accusations.

I finally want to mention that cell phones were not allowed on the floor, however, everyone had cell phones on them, however, I was again pulled aside by a manager and told that could not carry a cell phone on the floor. I complied with their wishes although I routinely saw many other employees walking around with cell phones in plain site without receiving repercussions from management

I would like to file this charge with both the EEOC and the state or local agency if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate with them in the processing of my charges in accordance with their procedures.

August 25th, 2015

Mic hael

Michael Swinton 2795 E 4th St Apt 7 Reno, NV 89512

3

EEDC INTOKE

NOTIFICATION OF BAN FROM PREMISES

Dete: 7/24/2015

TO: MICHAEL Swinton

This letter serves as official notice to the addressee that they have been duly notified by the Issuer of this notice, acting as an authorized representative of Goodwill Industries of Secremento Valley and Northern Nevede Inc., that the addressee is benned from all Goodwill Industries of Secremento Valley and Northern Neveda Inc. and premises effective immediately or on the date as stated on this notice.

The addressee is not allowed on Goodwill industries of Secremento Valley and Northern Nevada inc. at any time or for any reason and any such presence by the addressee on Goodwill industries of Secremento Valley and Northern Nevada Inc. property is considered trespessing and local law enforcement officials will be notified. Failure to leave the premises by the addressee will result in prosecution for trespessing as well as any civil liability incurred.

Goodwill industries of Secramento Valley and Northern Nevede inc. premises include but are not limited to all Goodwill retail locations, attended donation centers, business offices and vocational training centers. Piesse direct any questions to the Director of Asset Protection or the Director of Workforce Development at (\$16) 395-9000.

Admonledgement of Notification receipt-

Addressee Signature:

Authorized Goodwill Representative:

Steneture

Name: Kob-At Doin 165

Date: 7/241.

cc: Director of Asset Protection

8001 Folsom Bouleverd Suite 200 Secrements, CA 95926 Main \$16/385-8000

Fex 916/385-2615

Bangloyee's Signature: Michael Swinton

Our Business is Changing Lives TM Snannon Broxen

Case 3:17-cv-00123-MMD-VPC Docume		Presente		e 32 o	Charge No(s):
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	FEPA	u 10.	Jon toy (100)	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1674. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC		846-20	15-36470
Nevada Equal Right	s Commissi	on			and EEOC
State or local Agen	icy, if eny	Marsa Ch	one (Incl. Aree C	osfo)	Date of Birth
erne (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)			5) 379-25 7		30.0
Ar. Michael A. Swinton	and ZIP Code		70.00		
795 E 4th St. #7, Reno, NV 89512					
arned is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshi lecriminated Ageinst Me or Others. (<i>Il more than two, list under PARTICULAR</i> :	p Committee, or a below.)	State or Lo	cel Governmen		
lame		1	yees, Members		(Include Area Code)
SOODWILL INDUSTRIES		500	or More	(775	358-6444
City, State 2424 Oddie Boulevard, Reno, NV 89512	and ZIP Code			Share No.	(Inchesta Ama Corta)
Name		No. Emplo	lyoos, Members	PTIQTI © 19 0.	(Include Area Code)
Chu State	and ZIP Code	<u> </u>		L	
Striet Address Cay, Super					
ISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)			DATE(S) DISCR	MINATION T	
	NATIONAL ORK	300	Earliest		07-25-2015
	ENETIC INFORMAT	1			
X RETALIATION AGE X DISABILITY GE OTHER (Speedly)				CONTINUING	ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach axire sheet(s)):					
Respondent hired me on June 14, 2014 as a clerk processor. On 7/23/2015, I was taken back to the office by 3 managers (unknown names) and ac	cused of steeling is	n a manner :	imilar to a polic	e interrogati	on and was
On 7/23/2015, I was taken back to the owner by 5 managers (unnership threetened with suspension. Menagement searched my backpack and illegally confis Immediately called the Reno police department and when they arrived, management					
thet we were just taking beck" in the same aread, they scale, this. Swinton we making sense by saying "the property was Goodwill's", then stating "Mr. Swinton we were mine and informed me to return with any papers to prove this.					
and the same and the same at the same and th	eturn a wetch that	was given to	o me by my case he taking legal s	worker but	denied returning my intacting the police
On 7/24/2015 I returned with a hagmost to retrieve my property. Heritagette, "I inform phones and other property stating "phone bill statements were not receipts." I inform again. I was then benned from the premises. (See attached benning latter).					
On 8/12/2015 filed an incident report with Reno police department against Goodwil	I management on I	lerceny cher	ges. (See attach	ed report - 1	50105649).
I believe goodwill management accused me of steeling because of my race, (I am blee I believe goodwill management banned me from the goodwill premises in retailation	(it), in violation of 1 for reporting them	ince VII of the to the notice	re until rughts Ac se and informine	, or 1300, or them that I	would take legal
action therefore, violating my protected rights.					
I believe goodwill management racially discriminated against me by harassing me on management reduced my hours from 40 hours per psycheck to 32 hours per psychec discriminated against me in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as	# pocavae I am Die	interrupting ck and have	rwy bethroom l a disebility that	oreaks). I for they were a	mermore believe were of, Respondent
	NOTARY - Whe	n necessary	for State and Los	al Agency A	equirements
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will					
cooperate fully with them in the proceeding of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	I swear or affir	m that I hav	re read the abo	we charge	and that it is true to
declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	the best of my SIGNATURE OF	knowledge	, information a	nd bellet.	RECEI
X712H/Ky willow Consider	SUBSCRIBED A	UND SWORY	TO BEFORE M	E THIS DATE	_
VIII NICIAL ACIONIO	/ (<i>INDITION</i> , GRBY, 1984	'/			EEOC - S
(Date // Charging Party Signature	1				EEUC - S

LEBOC NOTICE OF CHARGE EXHIBIT EEOC Form 15" : "/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

•		DISMISSAL AND NO	TICE OF	 RIGHTS		
Riverw 805 Ku	el A. Swinton vood Apartments uesgli Street, Apt. #20 NV 89502	02	From:	San Francisco District Office 450 Golden Gate Avenue 5 West, P.O. Box 36025 San Francisco, CA 94102		
	On behalf of pe CONFIDENTIA	rson(s) aggrieved whose identity is L (29 CFR §1601.7(e))				
EEOC Charge No.		EEOC Representative		Telephone No.		
		Patricia A. Finnegan,				
846-2015-36	B470	Investigator		(415) 522-3021		
THE EEOC	IS CLOSING ITS FILE	ON THIS CHARGE FOR TH	E FOLLO	WING REASON:		
	The facts alleged in the o	charge fail to state a claim under a	any of the s	statutes enforced by the EEOC.		
	Your allegations did not i	nvolve a disability as defined by t	he America	ans With Dinabilities Ast		
	Took and gallone did not	Thomas a disability as defined by the	ne America	BITS VARIT DISBOIRGES ACC.		
	The Respondent employ	s less than the required number o	f employee	es or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.		
Your charge was not timely filed with EECC in other discrimination to file your charge				ou waited too long after the date(s) of the allege		
li li	ntormation obtained esta	ablishes violations of the statutes.	. This doe:	vestigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the not certify that the respondent is in compliance will construed as having been raised by this charge.		
				nent practices agency that investigated this charge.		
	Other (briefly state)	•		•		
		- NOTICE OF SUI				
'Ou may file a 'Ou may file a awsuit must l	on in Employment Ac a lawsuit against the ro be filed <u>WITHIN 90</u> D	bilities Act, the Genetic Info	rmation No of dismiss No based on notice: or	Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age sal and of your right to sue that we will send yo on this charge in federal or state court. Your r your right to sue based on this charge will be		
megea EPA u	t (EPA): EPA suits manderpayment. This manderpayment is a suit may not be col	eans that backpay due for an	ourt within y violatio	n 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the ons that occurred more than 2 years (3 years)		
		On behalf of	the Comm	nission		
		We ?	m/	11/2.1.		
Enclosures(s)	·	Marine B. T.		12/21/16		
		William R. Ta	wnayo,	(Date Mailed)		

Patricia P. Calvo VP, Human Resources Goodwill Industries, Sacramento Valley, N. Nevada 8001 Folsom Blvd., Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95826

CC

EEUC NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS EXHIBIT